New Hearing
Rahway
2019-29

The petition of Scott Salvidio for property located at 13 Rahway Road as shown on Book-Page #69537-119, Map #16, Parcel #117-0of the Burlington Assessor’s Maps. The Applicant is seeking to construct a 5’0 x 9.8’ roof over the existing deck. This is in violation of Article 5, section 5.2.0, the front setback will be 20’-0”, and Burlington Zoning By-laws require no less than 25 feet. Also the applicant is seeking to change the existing 11’-0” foot side setback to a conforming setback.

As shown plans filed on July 24, 2019 and a copy can be reviewed at the Clerk’s Office.

New Hearing
Macy’s
2019-30

The petition of Macy’s Retail Holdings, Inc. for property located at 4 Burlington Mall as shown on Map#52, Parcel #39 on the Burlington Assessor’s Map. The applicant is seeking a Special Sign Permit to install three (3) Wall Signs:
Sign A is proposed to be 7’-0” x 44’-1 7/8” to be located on the West elevation at 2nd floor level upper right corner to read ‘macy’s’ with a star graphic on the left of the “m”.
Sign B is proposed to be 7’-0” x 44’-1 7/8” to be located on the South elevation at 2nd floor level upper right corner to read ‘macy’s’ with a star graphic on the left of the “m”.
Sign C is proposed to be 7’-0” x 44’-1 7/8” to be located on the East elevation at 2nd floor level upper right corner to read ‘macy’s’ with a star graphic on the left of the “m”.

The sign is in violation of Article 13, Section 13.1.3.2.3 and Article 13, Section 13.1.3.2.4 of the Burlington Sign By Law. Wall signs shall be 4’-0” or less in height and wall signs at other than the 1st floor shall be 6’-0” or less All three signs are 7’0” in height and 44’-1 7/8” in length.

As shown on plans filed on July 26, 2019 and a copy of which is on file with the Town Clerk’s office.

New Hearing
11 Cambridge
2019-30

The petition of Nikhik Shah for property located at 11 Cambridge Road as shown in Book-Page 64543-120, Map #54, Parcel #4-0 of the Burlington Assessor’s Maps.
The applicant is seeking to install 2 wall signs:
Sign A is proposed to be a wall sign to be 31.24” by 17’-2” to be located on the East elevation on the top right corner below the roof line (2nd Floor) and to read ‘FLAWLESS MEDSPA’.
Sign B is proposed to be a wall sign to be 31.24” by 17-2” to be located on the North Elevation on the top left corner below the rooftop (2nd Floor) and to read ‘FLAWLESS MEDSPA’.

Both signs are in violation of Burlington Zoning By-Law Article 13, section 13.1.3.2.4 stating “at the first floor level a sign may extend across the full width of the wall. At other than the first floor level, a sign shall be six (6) feet or less in length.

As shown plans filed on July 29,2019 and a copy can be reviewed at the Clerk’s Office.

Minutes
Adjourn
Chairman Michael Murray, Jr. called the meeting of the Burlington Board of Appeals to order at 7:30 p.m. The meeting was held in the Main Town Hall Meeting Room, 2nd Floor, and 29 Center Street, Burlington, MA.

Present: Chairman: Michael Murray Jr., Mark Burke, Brenda Rappaport, John Sullivan and Adam Tigges

New Hearing
Rahway
2019-29

The petition of Scott Salvidio for property located at 13 Rahway Road as shown on Book-Page #69537-119, Map #16, Parcel #117-0 of the Burlington Assessor’s Maps. The Applicant is seeking to construct a 5’0 x 9.8’ roof over the existing deck. This is in violation of Article 5, section 5.2.0, the front setback will be 20'-0”, and Burlington Zoning By-laws require no less than 25 feet. In addition, the applicant is seeking to change the existing 11'-0” foot side setback to a conforming setback.

As shown plans filed on July 24, 2019 and a copy can be reviewed at the Clerk’s Office.

Legal notice read into record.

Scott Salvidio introduced himself and explained he was looking to add a small roof over the existing deck and because the side has only a 11'-0” foot setback and he would like to have that considered a conforming setback.

Mr. Burke asked about the house being knocked down 12 years ago and if it was rebuilt on the same footprint and was informed it was.

Mr. Sullivan asked if the roof would be just over the front porch and that is why you need the 20-foot setback. He was informed it would be.

Hearing opened to the public. No one present to speak for or against. Motion made and seconded to close the public hearing. All in favor.

Motion made and seconded to approve the allocated front setback of 20 feet to construct a roof over the existing deck and the 11 feet side set back to be a conforming setback as shown on plans submitted with the application. Voted 5-0 in support.

New Hearing
2019-30

Macy’s
The petition of Macy’s Retail Holdings, Inc. for property located at 4 Burlington Mall as shown on Map #52, Parcel #39 on the Burlington Assessor’s Map. The applicant is seeking a Special Sign Permit to install three (3) Wall Signs:

Sign A is proposed to be 7'-0” x 44'-1 7/8” to be located on the West elevation at 2nd floor level upper right corner to read ‘macy’s’ with a star graphic on the left of the “m”.

Sign B is proposed to be 7'-0” x 44'-1 7/8” to be located on the South elevation at 2nd floor level upper right corner to read ‘macy’s’ with a star graphic on the left of the “m”.

Sign C is proposed to be 7'-0” x 44'-1 7/8” to be located on the East elevation at 2nd floor level upper right corner to read ‘macy’s’ with a star graphic on the left of the “m”. The sign is in violation of Article 13, Section 13.1.3.2.3 and Article 13, Section 13.1.3.2.4 of the Burlington Sign By Law. Wall signs shall be 4’-0” or less in height and wall signs at other than the 1st floor shall be 6’-0” or less. All three signs are 7’0” in height and 44’-1 7/8” in length.

As shown on plans filed on July 26, 2019 and a copy of which is on file with the Town Clerk’s office.

Legal notice posted as required and sent to abutters and surrounding communities.

Cindy Abel the District facilities manager and Betsy Bugg, Assistant Store Manager, introduced themselves and explained they were looking to repairing an exterior sign that needs repair. They had priced replacing the sign and it is about the same price to replace it. Therefore, they are in front of the Board to request a new sign. Mr. Sullivan asked what the difference was between old and new sign. He was informed the sign would be LED verses Neon. It would be the same size, but they are adding the star –Macy’s branding. He informed them the illumination could not exceed 90 lumens per square foot.

Open to the public. No one present to speak for or against. Motion made and seconded to close the public hearing. All in favor.

Motion made and seconded to approve the signage for Macy’s as shown on plans submitted with the application and with the condition: the illumination cannot exceed 90 lumens per square foot.

5-0 in favor.

New Hearing
2019-31

11 Cambridge

The petition of Nikhik Shah for property located at 11 Cambridge Road as shown in Book-Page 64543-120, Map #54, Parcel #4-0 of the Burlington Assessor’s Maps.

The applicant is seeking to install 2 wall signs:

Sign A is proposed to be a wall sign to be 31.24” by 17”-2” to be located on the East elevation on the top right corner below the roofline (2nd Floor) and to read ‘FLAWLESS MEDSPA’.

Sign B is proposed to be a wall sign to be 31.24” by 17-2” to be located on the North Elevation on the top left corner below the roofline (2nd Floor) and to read ‘FLAWLESS MEDSPA’. Both signs are in violation of Burlington Zoning By-Law Article 13, section 13.1.3.2.4 stating “at the first floor level a sign may extend across the full width of the wall. At other than the first-floor level, a sign shall be six (6) feet or less in length.

As shown plans filed on July 29, 2019 and a copy can be reviewed at the Clerk’s Office.

Legal notice posted as required and sent to abutters and surrounding communities.
Nikhik Shah introduced himself and explained he was looking to install two wall signs. He stated the letters would be on a raceway.

Mr. Burke asked for clarification on the size and was informed the applicant the rules for a second-floor signage cannot be longer than 6 feet. He added it looks like it is bigger than the Dental Bright sign and feels it is too big. He also stated he would have like to see additional information such as other signs on the building and would be in favor of a smaller version of the sign.

Ms. Rappaport agreed she agrees with other members of the Board and stated she sees no need to have both sides of the building having signs.

Mr. Shah explained he felt it needed to be that size because it is on the second floor and that makes it harder to see.
Mr. Sullivan stated there were multiply signs on the building. He added they have been there for a while and sees no need and believes the sign would not bring in new business.

Motion made and seconded to continue the hearing until September 3, 2019. All members in favor.

Minutes – motion made and seconded minutes. All members in favor.

Adjourn  motion made and seconded to adjourn. All members in favor.